

# Building resilience to drought impacts on water supplies: a comparison of approaches in Europe, the USA, and Australia

K. Stahl<sup>(1)</sup>, J. Hannaford<sup>(2)</sup>, M. Svoboda<sup>(3)</sup>, C. L. Knutson<sup>(3)</sup>, S. Bachmair<sup>(1)</sup>, M.C. Acreman<sup>(2)</sup>, N.D. Crossman<sup>(4)</sup>, I.C. Overton<sup>(4)</sup>, M. Colloff<sup>(4)</sup> and K. Collins<sup>(5)</sup>

#### Motivation

Drought events pose a substantial threat to water security in almost every climate zone and water use sector.

Many countries have had difficulty maintaining water supplies and mitigating user conflicts during recent droughts, for example during Australia's Millennium Drought (1998-2010) and the recent droughts in the USA (2012), Europe (2000s) and UK (2011/12). With climate projections suggesting that droughts will intensify in many regions the magnitude of this threat is likely to increase and thus vulnerability of society to drought reduced through must be better preparedness.

### Project

The Belmont Forum project DrIVER (Drought impacts: Vulnerability thresholds in monitoring and early-warning research) aims to contribute to better drought preparedness by improving links between natural (hydrometeorological) characterisation environmental and and drought socio-economic impacts.





(1) University of Freiburg, Freiburg, DE kerstin.stahl@hydrology.uni-freiburg.de

(2) Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford, UK (3) NDMC, Univ. of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA





(4) Develop pathways to drought resilient human communities and ecosystems based on improvement of targeted drought M&EW systems, drought management and training

Development of a

Training Game

(1) Identify and compare physical drought indicators and reported drought impacts across different geographical settings, primarily in the USA Europe and Australia where drought M&EW systems exist



(3) Engage public water suppliers and related stakeholders through a series of workshops to explore the framings, decision making and practices relating to drought (including M&EW)

(2) Explore and define vulnerability thresholds through quantitative analysis of indicators and impacts during past drought events

Policy, practitioner & user communities Regional focus (Lowland England) Social learning design to explore: Different framing and experiences of drought Understandings of drought systems Role and use of M&EW systems Design of meaningful indicators AU: Spring 2016 Regional focus (Adelaide water supply) Social learning design to explore Different framing and experience Newly required farm bus siness risk management of M&EW systems n of meaningful indicators

**UK: March 2015** 

Social Learning

Approaches

a) Correlation of drought indicators (SPI, SPEI) with number of impact reports (right) b) Indicator values' distribution at reported impact onsets (below) UK: all reported impact onsets UK: water supply impact onsets

(4) CSIRO Land and Water Flagship, Adelaide & Canberra, AU (5) The Open University, Milton Keynes, UK





### **Data & Case Studies**

**Drought Indicators** Most continental or regional M&EW systems use precipitation-based drought indices.



The lower river Murray and Adelaide water supply are a DrIVER case study; it was hit hard by the "Millenium Drought" 1998-2010.



At the national scale, surface and ground water monitoring often serves for drought M&EW.

Water suppliers monitor their storage levels; they would like to have improved forecasting.









Drought impacts in Germany: predominantly agriculture, freshwater ecosystems and energy production.



## **Data Analysis**



Rank correlation coefficient . . . . . 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 1224 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 1224 Q G

Drought impacts in Germany are correlated with shorter precipitation deficits, in the UK with longer precipitation deficits. Water supply impacts in Southern UK correlate to up to 24-month precipitation deficits. North-South differences exist in both countries.

Impacts have started at a wide range of negative SPI thresholds.



